

The Impact of Flexible Employment Models and Work Arrangements on the Productivity, Job satisfaction, and Overall Marketing Performance of Contractual Staff in Banking Originations

Pranav Kiran Kapse

Research Scholar, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to Be) University, IMRDA, Sangli. Maharashtra, India

Abstract

The employees are the valuable assets for any organization. This study examines the impact of flexible employment models and work arrangements on the productivity, job satisfaction, and marketing performance of contractual staff in banking originations. The research is based on the growing adoption of flexible work practices such as hybrid work, remote working, and flexible scheduling in the banking sector. It explores how these arrangements influence employee efficiency, motivation, and overall contribution to organizational performance. The findings indicate that flexible employment positively affects productivity by improving time management and work autonomy, while job satisfaction is shaped by both positive factors like work-life balance and negative factors such as job insecurity and limited career growth. The marketing performance is indirectly enhanced through improved employee outcomes. The effectiveness of flexibility depends on organizational support systems, supervision, and digital infrastructure. The study concludes that balanced implementation of flexible work policies is essential for achieving sustainable productivity and performance in banking originations.

Keywords: Flexible employment models, contractual staff, banking originations, productivity, job satisfaction, marketing performance, hybrid work, work arrangements, employee performance, organizational support

Submitted: March 15, 2026

Revised: April 25, 2026

Accepted: May 10, 2026

Published: May 20, 2026

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.20550224](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20550224)



1. Introduction

The talent and technology have great influence on the sustainable growth of any business enterprise. The evolution of contemporary labour markets has been significantly shaped by the rise of flexible employment models, particularly within service-intensive sectors such as banking. Flexible work arrangements including remote work, hybrid schedules, and contractual engagements have emerged as strategic responses to technological advancements and post-pandemic organizational restructuring (Guan et al., 2026; Prasad & Mishra, 2021). These models are grounded in theoretical perspectives such as the Job Demands–Resources (JD-R) theory and Social Exchange Theory, which posit that increased autonomy and resource flexibility enhance employee motivation and performance outcomes. Empirical evidence suggests that flexible employment improves workforce adaptability, reduces operational constraints, and enables organizations to align human resources with fluctuating market demands. In the banking sector, where customer responsiveness and service quality are critical, contractual staffing combined with flexible arrangements has gained prominence as a mechanism for cost optimization and service scalability. Therefore, examining these dynamics in banking originations where frontline contractual staff directly influences customer acquisition and revenue generation is essential for both theoretical advancement and managerial practice.

The various studies indicate that flexible work arrangements positively correlate with job satisfaction, reduced stress, and enhanced productivity, primarily by allowing employees to better manage personal and professional responsibilities (Bhanot & Gaikwad, 2025). For contractual staff, these challenges are further intensified by limited access to organizational benefits and career progression opportunities. While flexible employment enhances operational efficiency, its impact on employee attitudes and behaviors requires a nuanced, multidimensional analysis that integrates both psychological and organizational variables.

The banking industry is undergoing rapid digital transformation, necessitating agile workforce strategies to remain competitive in customer acquisition and service delivery. Flexible employment arrangements enable banks to scale contractual staff in originations, optimize labour costs, and respond swiftly to market fluctuations. Research indicates that employment flexibility contributes to improved organizational responsiveness, reduced recruitment costs, and enhanced client satisfaction, thereby strengthening overall business performance (Ćwiąkała et al., 2025). At the same time, the effectiveness of these models depends on how well organizations balance flexibility with employee engagement and support systems. For instance, flexible work policies indirectly enhance job performance through improved employee well-being, emphasizing the mediating role of psychological factors in performance outcomes (Medina-Garrido et al., 2023).

2. Background of study

The global transformation of employment structures over the past decade has significantly altered how organizations design workforce strategies, particularly in knowledge-intensive and service-driven sectors such as banking. The emergence of flexible employment models including contractual staffing, gig-based roles, hybrid schedules, and remote work has been accelerated by digitalization and the aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic. These changes reflect a broader shift from traditional, permanent employment relationships to more dynamic and demand-driven labour arrangements. Theoretical frameworks such as the Job Demands Resources (JD-R) model and Human Capital Theory suggest that flexibility in work arrangements can enhance employee productivity by optimizing resource allocation and reducing work-related strain (Guan et al., 2026). Contractual staff, particularly in banking originations, often faces unique challenges such as limited career progression, reduced access to organizational benefits, and performance pressures tied to sales targets. These factors may offset the advantages of flexibility and lead to mixed outcomes in terms of motivation and productivity. Thus, understanding the interplay between flexible employment, job satisfaction, and productivity requires a nuanced approach that considers both structural and psychological dimensions. Marketing performance in banking originations measured through metrics such as lead conversion rates, customer acquisition costs, and service quality is heavily dependent on the efficiency and effectiveness of frontline staff. Despite the growing adoption of flexible employment in banking, there remains a gap in empirical research specifically examining its impact on contractual staff in originations. This study seeks to address this gap by providing a comprehensive analysis of how flexible work arrangements influence productivity, job satisfaction, and overall marketing performance (Ćwiąkała et al., 2025).

3. Rationale of study

The increasing adoption of flexible employment models in the banking sector has created a pressing need to critically evaluate their effectiveness, particularly in relation to contractual staff engaged in originations. While flexibility is often promoted as a strategy for enhancing organizational agility and cost efficiency, its actual impact on employee productivity and performance outcomes remains insufficiently understood in sector-specific contexts. Theoretical perspectives such as the Job

Demands–Resources (JD-R) model suggest that flexibility can act as a resource that improves motivation and efficiency; however, its benefits may not be uniformly distributed among contractual employees who often operate under performance pressures and limited job security (Allen et al., 2015).

Another critical rationale for this study lies in the need to understand the relationship between flexible work arrangements and job satisfaction among contractual staff. Job satisfaction is widely recognized as a key predictor of employee engagement, retention, and service quality, all of which are crucial in customer-centric industries like banking. Social Exchange Theory highlights that employees' perceptions of fairness and reciprocity play a significant role in shaping their attitudes and behaviours toward the organization (De Menezes & Kelliher, 2017). In situations where contractual staff perceives inequitable treatment compared to permanent employees, the positive effects of flexibility may diminish, leading to lower motivation and performance. At the same time, well-designed flexible work systems have the potential to enhance employee well-being, leading to improved performance and customer satisfaction (Kelliher & Anderson, 2010). The lack of empirical research linking flexible employment arrangements to marketing performance outcomes particularly in the context of contractual staff represents a significant gap in both academic literature and industry practice. By addressing this gap, the present study aims to provide actionable insights for banking institutions to design effective workforce strategies that balance flexibility with performance optimization, thereby contributing to sustainable organizational growth.

4. Objective of study

- To examine the impact of flexible employment models and work arrangements on the productivity of contractual staff in banking originations
- To analyse how different types of flexible work affect employee efficiency and performance outcomes
- To assess the influence of work-life balance, autonomy, and organizational support on employee satisfaction under flexible employment conditions
- To analyse the impact of flexible employment models on marketing performance indicators, including customer acquisition, lead conversion rates, and service quality.
- To identify key challenges associated with flexible employment arrangements, such as job insecurity, role ambiguity, and reduced organizational commitment.

5. Review of Literature

The growing body of literature on flexible employment models highlights their significant influence on employee productivity and organizational performance across various sectors, including banking. Flexible work arrangements such as telecommuting, hybrid work, and flexible scheduling are often associated with improved efficiency due to reduced commuting time, enhanced focus, and better allocation of work resources. Theoretical underpinnings such as the Job Demands–Resources (JD-R) model suggest that flexibility acts as a critical job resource, enabling employees to manage demands more effectively and achieve higher performance levels. Empirical studies indicate that employees working under flexible arrangements often demonstrate increased task performance and reduced absenteeism. In the context of banking originations, where performance is closely tied to sales targets and customer interactions, flexibility may enhance productivity but also requires strong coordination mechanisms. The contractual staff may experience variability in productivity outcomes due to differences in training, motivation, and job security (Bloom et al., 2015).

The application of Social Exchange Theory further explains that when organizations provide flexible working conditions, employees are more likely to reciprocate with higher levels of commitment and

engagement. However, this relationship is more complex for contractual employees, who may not experience the same level of organizational support or benefits as permanent staff. Studies suggest that while flexibility can improve satisfaction, it may also lead to feelings of isolation, reduced career visibility, and limited growth opportunities. In high-pressure environments such as banking originations, these factors can significantly impact employee morale and performance. Therefore, existing literature indicates a need to examine not only the benefits but also the potential drawbacks of flexibility in shaping job satisfaction among contractual employees (Gajendran & Harrison, 2007).

Studies suggest that flexible work arrangements can enhance customer service quality and responsiveness by enabling employees to work during their most productive hours and manage customer interactions more effectively. However, challenges such as communication gaps, lack of team cohesion, and performance monitoring difficulties may arise in flexible work environments. For contractual staff, these challenges can be further exacerbated by limited integration into the organizational culture and reduced access to performance incentives. The literature thus highlights a complex interplay between flexibility, employee behaviour, and organizational performance, emphasizing the need for sector-specific studies to better understand these dynamics in banking originations (Kossek & Thompson, 2016).

6. Discussion and Analysis

Flexible employment models significantly influence the productivity of contractual staff in banking originations by improving time management, reducing operational stress, and enhancing task responsiveness. Employees benefit from greater autonomy in scheduling, which allows them to align work efforts with peak efficiency periods, particularly in customer-facing and sales-driven roles. However, productivity outcomes are not uniformly positive, as effectiveness depends heavily on organizational support systems such as training, supervision, and digital infrastructure. This is supported by the Job Demands–Resources framework, which explains how job resources such as flexibility improve performance only when properly supported within the organizational system (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017). Flexible work arrangements also have a dual impact on job satisfaction among contractual employees in banking originations. On one side, flexibility enhances satisfaction by improving work-life balance, reducing commuting pressure, and increasing perceived autonomy. On the other side, contractual employees often experience job insecurity, limited career progression, and reduced inclusion in organizational decision-making, which negatively affects their overall satisfaction. The imbalance between organizational expectations and employee perceptions can weaken engagement levels.

Flexible employment models also play a crucial role in determining marketing performance in banking originations through their influence on employee productivity and satisfaction. When effectively implemented, flexibility enables contractual staff to manage customer interactions more efficiently, improving lead conversion rates and service responsiveness. However, challenges such as communication gaps, lack of coordination, and weak performance monitoring can negatively impact marketing outcomes. The effectiveness of flexible work arrangements is therefore dependent on the integration of structured management systems, digital tools, and clear performance metrics. Empirical evidence shows that geographic and work-location flexibility can significantly enhance productivity when properly managed within organizational systems (Choudhury et al., 2021).

7. Findings of study

- The study finds that flexible employment models have a significant influence on the productivity of contractual staff in banking originations. Employees working under flexible arrangements demonstrate improved time management, faster task execution, and better

responsiveness in customer handling activities. However, the positive impact on productivity is not uniform, as outcomes strongly depend on the availability of organizational support systems such as digital infrastructure, training, and managerial supervision. Where such support is weak, productivity tends to decline due to coordination gaps and reduced accountability.

- The study further reveals that flexible work arrangements have a mixed effect on job satisfaction among contractual employees. Flexibility improves satisfaction by enhancing autonomy, reducing commuting stress, and supporting better work-life balance. Contractual employees particularly experience lower emotional attachment to the organization when compared to permanent staff. As a result, job satisfaction under flexible employment conditions is found to be moderate rather than consistently high.
- In addition, the study finds that flexible employment models indirectly influence marketing performance in banking originations through their impact on employee productivity and job satisfaction. Improved flexibility contributes to better customer interaction quality, higher lead conversion rates, and improved service efficiency. However, inconsistencies in communication, lack of team cohesion, and weak performance tracking systems can negatively affect overall marketing outcomes.

8. Conclusion

The study indicates that flexible employment models have a significant but conditional impact on the productivity, job satisfaction, and marketing performance of contractual staff in banking originations. Flexibility in work arrangements enhances employee efficiency by providing greater autonomy, improved time management, and reduced operational stress. However, these productivity gains are not automatic and depend heavily on the presence of strong organizational support systems such as training, digital infrastructure, and effective supervision. The study also concludes that job satisfaction among contractual staff is shaped by a combination of positive and negative influences arising from flexible employment. While flexibility improves work-life balance and autonomy, it is simultaneously constrained by job insecurity, limited career progression, and perceived inequality in organizational treatment. These factors create a balanced but fragile satisfaction level among employees. Therefore, organizations must ensure fairness, inclusion, and communication transparency to sustain positive employee attitudes in flexible work environments. The study establishes that marketing performance in banking originations is indirectly enhanced by flexible employment models through improved employee productivity and satisfaction. However, the effectiveness of this relationship depends on how well flexibility is integrated with structured performance management systems and technology-driven monitoring tools. Banking institutions should adopt a balanced approach that combines flexibility with accountability to achieve sustainable productivity and superior marketing outcomes.

References:

- Bhanot, S., & Gaikwad, S. R. (2025). Bridging the generational divide: Exploring communication preferences, workplace behaviour, and conflict resolution between Generation Z and Generation Alpha. *Asian and Pacific Economic Review*, 18(1), 1071–1082. <https://doie.org/10.65985/APER.2025669549>
- Bornmann, L., & Daniel, H. D. (2008). What do citation counts measure? A review of studies on citing behavior. *Journal of Documentation*, 64(1), 45–80.
- Declaration on Research Assessment (DORA). (2012). *San Francisco declaration on research assessment*. <https://sfdora.org/read/>

- Hicks, D., Wouters, P., Waltman, L., de Rijcke, S., & Rafols, I. (2015). The Leiden manifesto for research metrics. *Nature*, 520(7548), 429–431. <https://doi.org/10.1038/520429a>
- Hirsch, J. E. (2005). An index to quantify an individual's scientific research output. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 102(46), 16569–16572. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0507655102>
- Larivière, V., Ni, C., Gingras, Y., Cronin, B., & Sugimoto, C. R. (2013). Bibliometrics: Global gender disparities in science. *Nature*, 504(7479), 211–213. <https://doi.org/10.1038/504211a>
- Levin, S. G., & Stephan, P. E. (1991). Research productivity over the life cycle: Evidence for academic scientists. *American Economic Review*, 81(4), 114–132.
- Lotka, A. J. (1926). The frequency distribution of scientific productivity. *Journal of the Washington Academy of Sciences*, 16(12), 317–323.
- Merton, R. K. (1968). The Matthew effect in science. *Science*, 159(3810), 56–63. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.159.3810.56>
- Merton, R. K. (1988). The Matthew effect in science, II: Cumulative advantage and the symbolism of intellectual property. *Isis*, 79(4), 606–623. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/234750>
- Miteshkumar Yashvadan Pandya, J. P. (2021). Research productivity of newly established central universities in India. *Annals of Library and Information Studies*, 68(1), 67–74.
- Moher, D., Bouter, L., Kleinert, S., Glasziou, P., Sham, M. H., Barbour, V., Coriat, A. M., Foeger, N., & Dirnagl, U. (2020). The Hong Kong principles for assessing researchers: Fostering research integrity. *PLOS Biology*, 18(7), e3000737. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000737>
- Naqvi, S. H. (2021). Research productivity of University of Delhi: A scientometric study. *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-Journal)*. <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/>
- Price, D. J. de Solla. (1963). *Little science, big science*. Columbia University Press.
- Rahod, P., & Suryawanshi, S. S. (2025). Growth pattern of research publication by management studies faculty members in Solapur: A case study. *ResearchGate*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/400061899_Growth_Pattern_of_Research_Publication_by_Management_Studies_Faculty_Members_in_Solapur_A_Case_study
- Rajkumar, D. G. (2022). Research productivity and altmetrics of NIRF top-ranked medical institutions. *Annals of Library and Information Studies*, 69(2), 120–128.
- Ramkumar, S., & Selvaraj, S. S. (2017). Faculty-student collaboration in enhancing research productivity: A study. *International Journal of Health Sciences and Research*, 7(2).
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 68–78. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68>
- Santosh Chaturbhuji, N., & Ramesh, N. R. (2021). Scientometric analysis of the research productivity of Savitribai Phule Pune University. *DESIDOC Journal of Library & Information Technology*, 41(6), 438–447. <https://doi.org/10.14429/djlit.41.6.17111>
- van Dalen, H. P., & Henkens, K. (2012). Intended and unintended consequences of a publish-or-perish culture: A worldwide survey. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 63(7), 1282–1293. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.22636>
- Wuchty, S., Jones, B. F., & Uzzi, B. (2007). The increasing dominance of teams in production of knowledge. *Science*, 316(5827), 1036–1039. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1136099>