

**Artificial Intelligence, Community Participation and Sustainable Tourism:
A Policy Framework for AI-Enabled Inclusive Destination Governance**

Vijay R. Suradkar

Assistant Professor, Sheila Raheja Institute of Hotel Management, Bandra East, Mumbai, India

Abstract

Tourism, community development together leads to sustainability and regional balance. Sustainable tourism has gained the momentum leading to the economic opportunity, resident well-being, cultural continuity, environmental stewardship, and the legitimacy of destination decisions. Community participation is central because residents experience tourism impacts directly and possess place-based knowledge that is often absent from conventional tourism statistics. At the same time, Artificial Intelligence (AI) is moving into destination management through forecasting, natural-language processing, mobility analytics, translation, recommendation systems, and real-time operational support. This paper examines how AI can strengthen, rather than replace, community participation in sustainable tourism governance. It uses an exploratory, descriptive, and analytical qualitative design based on peer-reviewed literature, policy frameworks, official destination documents, and a comparative examination of Singapore and Varanasi, India. Singapore represents a relatively mature ecosystem in which tourism sustainability, long-term planning, public engagement and technology development are institutionally connected. Varanasi represents an emerging heritage-destination application in which AI, mobility data, wayfinding and crowd-management tools are being developed to address intense visitor pressure. The study identifies seven policy dimensions: AI awareness, digital inclusion, community voice, AI-enabled analytics, local economic empowerment, environmental intelligence and responsible AI governance. It proposes an AI-Enabled Community Participation Framework for Sustainable Tourism (AICPF-ST), in which community observations become structured inputs to destination intelligence, AI supports analysis and communication, public institutions retain decision authority, and communities evaluate outcomes.

Keywords: *Sustainability, Tourism, Singapore, Artificial Intelligence, Framework*

Submitted: July 20, 2026 **Revised:** August 25, 2026 **Accepted:** September 5, 2026 **Published:** September 15, 2026

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22753609



1. Introduction

Tourism remains the key agenda for the business policies and strategic management. It contributes to employment, entrepreneurship, cultural exchange, destination investment and place-based development. However, tourism growth can also create congestion, pressure on public resources, environmental degradation, unequal distribution of benefits, changes in community life and conflicts over the use of public space. These tensions are especially visible in destinations with rapid visitor growth or concentrated cultural and religious attractions. Sustainable tourism therefore requires more than environmental protection or visitor satisfaction. It requires governance systems capable of balancing economic, social, cultural, and environmental objectives while maintaining community legitimacy (Manotungvorapun & Gerd Sri, 2025). Community participation is particularly important because residents possess local knowledge that cannot easily be reconstructed from visitor counts or administrative databases. Residents know where congestion occurs, which routes become unsafe, which cultural practices are sensitive, which businesses benefit from tourism, and which changes create pressure on everyday life. Meaningful participation consequently involves more than inviting residents to occasional consultations. It requires access to information, opportunities to express concerns,

mechanisms for influence, transparency about decisions and evidence that community inputs can change outcomes (Iqbal et al., 2022). UNESCO's sustainable-tourism approach similarly emphasizes stakeholder cooperation, awareness, capacity, and balanced participation in heritage destinations. Artificial Intelligence introduces a new possibility. AI can process large volumes of structured and unstructured information, identify patterns, classify feedback, translate communication, forecast visitor flows, and assist public agencies in responding to changing conditions. UN Tourism and other international institutions have increasingly considered data and AI relevant to smart destinations and sustainable tourism. A destination can become digitally sophisticated while remaining socially exclusionary. AI can help organize and interpret it; public institutions should deliberate on the implications; and communities should be able to evaluate the actions taken. The paper develops this argument through Singapore and Varanasi and proposes the AICPF-ST framework as an adaptable policy model.

2. Significance of the Study

The study is significant theoretically because it connects four areas that are often discussed separately: sustainable tourism, community participation, smart-destination governance, and responsible AI. Practically, it provides destination managers with a policy-oriented framework for converting technological capability into community benefit. The comparison is also useful because Singapore and Varanasi represent different institutional and tourism contexts. The objective is not to treat them as directly equivalent, but to identify transferable governance principles.

3. Problem Statement

The research problem is the disconnect between community participation and increasingly data-driven destination governance. Tourism authorities may possess mobility, visitor, environmental, and operational data, while community experiences remain fragmented across complaints, informal associations, local businesses, social media, and individual conversations (Candeloro & Tartari, 2025). The absence of an integrated mechanism means that valuable local knowledge may not become visible at the point where decisions are made. The study therefore asks how AI can connect community knowledge with destination governance without undermining privacy, human agency, or accountability.

4. Objectives of Study

- To examine the role of AI in sustainable tourism governance
- To examine how AI can strengthen meaningful community participation
- To understand the growing importance of AI and digital inclusion among tourism communities
- To analyze Singapore as an international reference case for AI-enabled governance, public engagement and sustainable tourism
- To analyze Varanasi as an emerging Indian case of AI-enabled crowd management and sustainable mobility
- To identify governance risks associated with AI-enabled community participation
- To propose an adaptable policy framework for AI-enabled inclusive destination governance

5. Research Questions

- RQ1: How can AI strengthen community participation in sustainable tourism?
- RQ2: Does AI awareness affect the capacity of communities to participate in tourism governance?
- RQ3: What lessons can be drawn from Singapore's integration of AI, planning, and public engagement?
- RQ4: What lessons can be drawn from Varanasi's AI-enabled crowd-management initiatives?

- RQ5: What safeguards are necessary to make AI-enabled tourism governance inclusive and accountable?

6. Review of Literature

Community participation has evolved from a procedural concept into a question of empowerment and governance. Participation is meaningful when local people have opportunities to express interests, obtain relevant information, influence decisions, and receive benefits. Iqbal et al. (2022) identified community participation as an important theme in sustainable tourism research and associate it with socio-economic and political empowerment. This perspective implies that a destination should not simply consult residents after strategic decisions have been made. Residents should contribute to defining problems, priorities, and acceptable forms of tourism development.

Responsible tourism research also connects destination sustainability with community quality of life. Mathew and Sreejesh (2017) demonstrated the importance of resident perceptions in understanding whether tourism contributes positively to destination sustainability. Social legitimacy therefore becomes a sustainability indicator. If residents experience tourism as unfair, disruptive or exclusionary, long-term destination resilience may weaken even when visitor numbers and tourism receipts remain strong. AI can contribute to tourism sustainability through predictive demand management, crowd monitoring, energy optimization, waste management, route planning, accessibility support, translation, and personalized low-impact travel suggestions.

Smart tourism research initially emphasized connectivity, information and communication technologies, real-time data and personalized services. However, technology alone does not create a smart destination. Errichiello and Micera (2021) emphasized governance structures that coordinate tourism businesses, government, and communities. Their process-based perspective is relevant to AI because analytical capability is valuable only when institutions can translate information into legitimate action. Sustainable tourism AI therefore requires responsible governance throughout the technology lifecycle. NITI Aayog's Responsible AI for All similarly provides a policy foundation for responsible AI in India.

- **Theoretical Foundations**

Social Exchange Theory helps explain resident support for tourism: communities are more likely to support tourism when perceived benefits outweigh perceived costs. AI can improve the visibility of both benefits and costs, but it cannot by itself resolve distributional conflicts. Stakeholder Theory identifies residents, businesses, government, visitors, and environmental interests as parties with legitimate claims. AI governance should consequently avoid optimizing only visitor satisfaction or tourism revenue. Collaborative governance emphasizes structured interaction between public authorities and non-state stakeholders. AI can facilitate this interaction by improving information sharing and feedback analysis, but decision legitimacy still depends on participation and accountability.

7. Research Methodology

The study uses an exploratory, descriptive, and analytical qualitative research design. It is a comparative secondary-data study based on academic literature, policy documents, official destination material, and documented technology initiatives. This design is appropriate because the purpose is to develop and critically assess a policy framework rather than estimate population parameters. Singapore and Varanasi were selected as complementary cases: Singapore provides evidence of integrated planning, tourism sustainability, and technology governance, while Varanasi provides an emerging application of AI and data-driven tools to crowd management and sustainable mobility. The study applies thematic document analysis and comparative case analysis. Evidence was organized around community participation, AI capability, sustainability, inclusion, local economic value, environmental management, privacy, and accountability. The two cases were then assessed against the seven AICPF-ST pillars. Source

triangulation was used: destination claims were prioritized from official institutional sources, while theoretical propositions were checked against peer-reviewed literature.

8. Discussion and Analysis

The evidence indicates that Singapore and Varanasi demonstrate different but complementary pathways toward AI-enabled destination governance. Singapore's model is characterized by institutional integration: technology, planning, sustainability and public engagement operate within established public institutions. Varanasi's model is problem-driven: AI, mobility data, wayfinding and human-centered design are being applied to a concrete challenge involving congestion, accessibility and pedestrian movement in a dense heritage environment.

- **Singapore**

Singapore's policy environment demonstrates the value of institutional capacity. The Urban Redevelopment Authority's AI work links technology with liveability, sustainability and urban planning. Public engagement is also embedded in long-term planning. The Master Plan 2025 engagement process involved close to 220,000 participants, while the longer-term planning journey involved more than 50 engagement sessions and more than 15,000 people. These figures do not demonstrate that AI caused participation; rather, they demonstrate that technology development and participatory planning can coexist within a strong institutional environment. Singapore Tourism Board's Tourism 2040 roadmap places sustainable development and meaningful opportunities for Singaporeans within its long-term tourism vision. The Tourism Sustainability Programme emphasizes a sustainable urban destination, lower environmental footprints, accessibility, climate resilience, local businesses, and local culture. STB's technology initiatives. AI becomes more useful when public institutions already possess clear objectives, data capabilities, planning processes, stakeholder relationships and mechanisms for public engagement.

- **Varanasi**

Varanasi presents a contrasting context. The old city of Kashi contains narrow lanes, dense pedestrian routes and important religious and cultural sites. The Sustainable Cities Challenge, led with the Municipal Corporation of Varanasi and partners, has focused on crowd flow, accessibility and mobility. In January 2026, five pilot technologies were presented, including AI and big-data approaches, wayfinding, predictive crowd management and decision-support tools. By July 2026, winning solutions were selected for scaling. City Flow, for example, uses big data, computer vision and generative AI to analyze and manage crowd movement in real time, while Janjatra uses wayfinding and dynamic signage to ease pedestrian pressure. The documented purpose is people-centered: improving movement and safety for residents, pilgrims and visitors, including vulnerable groups. This makes Varanasi valuable for sustainable tourism research because the tourism problem is also an everyday mobility problem. Crowd management can affect resident access, visitor safety, emergency response, heritage protection and the quality of the pilgrimage experience. AI could classify and map these observations and combine them with mobility and environmental data.

- **Cross-Case Interpretation**

The cases support a broader principle: sustainable tourism AI should be problem-driven and institutionally accountable. Singapore demonstrates the importance of long-term planning and participatory capacity. Varanasi demonstrates the practical value of applying AI to a visible destination problem. Together they suggest that the question should not be whether a destination is technologically advanced, but whether technology improves the relationship between community needs, destination intelligence and public action.

• Proposed AICPF-ST Policy Framework

Figure 1: AICPF-ST Policy Framework



The AICPF-ST framework converts the study's findings into seven policy pillars as stated below. First, AI Awareness provides communities with practical knowledge of AI, data, and rights. Second, Digital Inclusion ensures multilingual, low-bandwidth, and assisted access. Third, Community Voice creates mechanisms for reporting, consultation and co-design. Fourth, AI Analytics converts dispersed information into interpretable patterns. Fifth, Local Economic Empowerment connects AI tools with small enterprises, guides, artisans and homestays. Sixth, Environmental Intelligence combines tourism activity with waste, water, crowd, and ecological indicators. Seventh, Responsible AI Governance

establishes privacy, auditability, explainability, human oversight and grievance mechanisms. A government-led destination platform could integrate six modules: Community Voice, Tourism Intelligence, AI Analytics, Local Marketplace, Sustainability Dashboard and AI Learning Centre. Community Voice would allow text, voice, image, or structured reporting. Tourism Intelligence would combine visitor, mobility, and destination information. AI Analytics would identify patterns and trends. The Local Marketplace would help community enterprises reach visitors. The Sustainability Dashboard would present social, environmental and economic indicators. The AI Learning Centre would provide continuous education on digital participation and responsible AI.

9. Limitations of the Study

- The study relies on secondary evidence and does not report a primary resident survey. Singapore and Varanasi differ in institutional capacity, geography, tourism structure and digital maturity; direct causal comparisons should therefore be avoided. AI initiatives are evolving rapidly, so practices described at the time of writing may change. Public documentation may not reveal all implementation challenges, community perceptions or algorithmic performance measures. The AICPF-ST framework is conceptually derived and requires field validation before claims of effectiveness can be made.

10. Conclusion

The transition from smart tourism to sustainable and inclusive tourism requires a change in the role assigned to AI. AI should not be understood merely as a mechanism for personalization, automation, or visitor convenience. Its deeper value lies in strengthening the information and participation infrastructure through which destinations understand local needs and respond to them. The evidence reviewed in this study suggests that AI can help make participation more continuous, accessible, multilingual, evidence-based and actionable, provided that human accountability remains central. Singapore demonstrates the value of combining technology development with established planning institutions, public engagement and tourism sustainability. Its Tourism 2040 vision emphasizes sustainable growth and meaningful opportunities for Singaporeans and residents, while its sustainability Programme links tourism development with environmental performance, accessibility, local business and local culture. Varanasi demonstrates a different but equally important pathway: applying AI, mobility data, wayfinding and human-centered design to a concrete heritage-city problem involving crowding, accessibility and pedestrian movement. The two cases should not be presented as identical models or as proof of causal effects. Their value lies in the complementary governance building blocks they provide. The central contribution of the paper is the AICPF-ST framework. It treats community voice as a governance and information resource. AI as a tool for converting dispersed information into actionable insight; government as the accountable decision-maker; and communities as participants in evaluating outcomes. The framework therefore creates a cycle rather than a hierarchy. It also makes AI awareness, digital inclusion and responsible governance integral to sustainable tourism rather than secondary technical concerns. AI can make destinations more intelligent, but only participatory and responsible governance can make that intelligence sustainable.

References:

- Belkaid, O., & Kummitha, H. R. (2026). Artificial intelligence in sustainable tourism development: A critical review of governance and managerial implications. *Discover Sustainability*, 7, Article 803. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-026-03251-4>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.

- Candeloro, G., & Tartari, M. (2025). Heritage-led sustainable development in rural areas: The case of Vivi Calascio community-based cooperative. *Cities*, 161, 105920. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2025.105920>
- Errichiello, L., & Micera, R. (2021). A process-based perspective of smart tourism destination governance. *European Journal of Tourism Research*, 29, 2909. <https://doi.org/10.54055/ejtr.v29i.2436>
- International Telecommunication Union. (2025). *United Nations activities on artificial intelligence: 2024 report: Tourism-related AI and deep-technology initiatives*.
- Iqbal, A., Ramachandran, S., Siow, M. L., Subramaniam, T., & Afandi, S. H. M. (2022). Meaningful community participation for effective development of sustainable tourism: Bibliometric analysis towards a quintuple helix model. *Journal of Outdoor Recreation and Tourism*, 39, 100523. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jort.2022.100523>
- Mathew, P. V., & Sreejesh, S. (2017). Impact of responsible tourism on destination sustainability and quality of life of community in tourism destinations. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*, 31, 83–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhtm.2016.10.001>
- Manotungvorapun, N., & Gerd Sri, N. (2025). Roadmap toward sustainable tourism development: An evidence- and knowledge-based approach from Thailand. *Sustainability*, 17(13), 6028. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17136028>
- NITI Aayog. (2021). *Responsible AI for all: Approach document for India, Part I—Principles for responsible AI*. Government of India.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2024). *OECD AI principles*.
- Singapore Tourism Board. (2025). *STB and OpenAI sign first-of-its-kind MOU to prepare tourism sector for AI-driven future*. Government of Singapore.
- Singapore Tourism Board. (2026a). *Singapore Tourism Board launches AI-powered Robodog Guides at Sentosa and the Mandai Wildlife Reserve in partnership with Mafengwo*. Government of Singapore.
- Singapore Tourism Board. (2026b). *Tourism 2040*. Government of Singapore.
- Singapore Tourism Board. (2026c). *Tourism Sustainability Programme*. Government of Singapore.
- Toyota Mobility Foundation. (2025). *Sustainable Cities Challenge: Varanasi—Finalists and AI, mobility-data and human-centred solutions*.
- Toyota Mobility Foundation. (2026a). *Varanasi announces winners of the Sustainable Cities Challenge to scale crowd management and sustainable mobility solutions in the historical core, Kashi*.
- Toyota Mobility Foundation. (2026b). *Varanasi pilots AI-powered crowd management solutions to handle crowding*.
- UN Tourism. (2020). *UNWTO and Telefónica partner to help destinations use data and AI to drive tourism's sustainable recovery*.
- UNESCO. (2026). *UNESCO and UN Tourism sign new agreement to boost cooperation on sustainable travel*.
- UNESCO World Heritage Centre. (n.d.). *World Heritage and Sustainable Tourism Programme*.
- Urban Redevelopment Authority Singapore. (2026a). *AI for cities*.
- Urban Redevelopment Authority Singapore. (2026b). *Exploring the potential of generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) in urban planning*.
- Urban Redevelopment Authority Singapore. (2026c). *Public engagement—Master Plan 2025*.
- Urban Redevelopment Authority Singapore. (2026d). *Public engagement journey*.
- Urban Redevelopment Authority Singapore. (2026e). *Shaping Singapore together*.